

MAY 30 2007

**REMARKS****Response to Objection to Specification**

The Examiner has indicated that the reference number "428" is not found in the specification as amended in the last amendment. However, applicant's amendment (January 25, 2007) on page 5, referred to "having tissue damaging elements 428 extending out of the shaft as shown in Fig. 1". Thus, the specification does include the reference number.


**Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112**

Claims 19, 20, 25-34 and 36-39 were rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. While applicants disagree with the Examiner's position in this regard, applicants have cancelled these claims so that claims 1 and those claims depending from claim 1 can issue. Applicant intends to file a continuation application directed to the rejected claims.

**Conclusion**

Claims 1, 22, 23 and 26-29 appear to be in allowable condition.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Edward J. Lynch  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 24,422

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
One Market  
Spear Tower, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 957-3000  
Facsimile: (415) 957-3001  
Direct Dial: (415) 957-3067